

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,259	11/20/2003	Keith A. Robinson	02008/01CIPC2 8136		
7590 04/19/2006			EXAMINER		
ROBERT W. S	STROZIER	AVILA, STEPHEN P			
P.O. BOX 429 BELLAIRE, TX	X 77402-0429	ART UNIT PAPER NUM			
BBB. Mad, 111 17 102 0125			3617		
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/718,2	259	ROBINSON, KEITH A.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Stephen		3617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N resions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comp period for reply is specified above, the maximum street to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AALLING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. tatutory period will apply and v v will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be timwill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	).  lely filed  the mailing date of this c  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					×			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
· —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46-62</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>46-62</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.			•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	, ,		ed in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received								
Attachmen	tis)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	No(s)/Mail Date				
. —	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PT	U-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/718,259 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 46-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Robinson (2002/0124785). Robinson discloses the claimed subject matter including a deck apparatus for a vessel with layers to increase the resistance of the vessel to an attack by an explosive weapon (note the Abstract, for example).
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 46, 48, 49, and 52 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,672,235. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

patentably distinct from each other because the claim language is encompassed in the patented claims, the differences would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

5. With respect to the amendment to the specification in the preliminary amendment filed it is note that this application which was filed on November 20, 2003 is not copending with 10/032,619 which issued on January 21, 2003. That is why the Robinson rejection was made above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Avila whose telephone number is 571-272-6678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7 AM to 3 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3617

Stephen Avila Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

\*\*\*